	Case 2.25-ev-01313-3AD-D3A D000	intent of filed 03/13/23 Tage 1 of 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3		
4	John Junior Ard,	Case No.: 2:23-cv-01315-JAD-DJA
5	Plaintiff v.	Order to Show Cause Why This Case
6		Should Not Be Remanded Back to State  Court
7	Casey Nelson, et al.,	
8	Defendants	
9	Pro se plaintiff John Junior Ard filed this case in Nevada's Eighth Judicial District Cour	
10	for Clark County, Nevada, but then removed it to this court, purportedly based on federal-	
11	question jurisdiction. 1 But only a defendant can remove a case from state court to federal court	
12	because the federal statute that creates the removal right, 28 U.S.C. § 1443, allows only	
13	defendants in state-court actions to remove cases to federal court. <sup>2</sup> Thus, it appears that this can	
14	was improperly removed to this court because Ard lacked the right to do so.	
15	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Ard must show cause in writing by September	
16	27, 2023, why this case should not be remanded as improperly removed by a plaintiff in a	
17	state-court action. If he fails to do so, this case will be remanded back to state court, case	
18	number A-23-865954-C, without further prior notice.	
19		2084
20		U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey September 13, 2023
21		
22	<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 3. <sup>2</sup> See ASAP Copy & Print v. Canon Bus. Sols., Inc., 643 F. App'x 650, 652 (9th Cir. 2016) ("W	
23	affirm the district court's order remanding the plaintiffs' case back to state court. 28 U.S.C. \$ 1443, like other federal removal statutes, permits removal only by defendants in state court	

actions.").